



DISCLAIMER

This document or some parts of it may not be accessible when using adaptive technology.

If you require assistance with accessing the content of the document, please contact the Planning team and quote the document name and the web page you found it on:

- email: localplan@ashfield.gov.uk
- telephone: 01623 457381 or 01623 457382 or 01623 457383.

To whom it may concern,

Re: Ashfield Local Plan (2023-40) Regulation 19 Pre-Submission Draft Consultation

The Woodland Trust is the UK's leading woodland conservation charity. We own over 1,000 woods throughout the UK and our head office is in Grantham. Our aims are to protect existing woodland, create new woodland, and enable people to enjoy and appreciate woodland.

Trees and forests are crucial to life on our planet. They stabilise the soil, generate oxygen, store carbon, play host to a spectacular variety of wildlife, and provide us with raw materials and shelter. They offer us respite, inspire our imagination, creativity and culture, and refresh our souls. A world without trees and forests would be barren, impoverished and intolerable.

We are interested in working with Ashfield District Council in developing policies beneficial to trees and woodland in its emerging Local Plan (LP). We would also like to work with this authority to enable it to better protect woodland, particularly irreplaceable habitats such as ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees, and to plant trees as part of a well-planned network of green infrastructure.

The District's tree canopy cover is 15 per cent, which contrasts to an average of 38 per cent across the EU. Therefore, we believe that woodland creation should be a major priority for the LP.

Principally, it is key to select the right tree for the right place and, while we recognise the role non-native trees will play in meeting near-term targets, as well as the ability of some to confront specific concerns like air pollution on busy streets, they should be minimised both to prevent the introduction of pests and diseases through tree importation (viz. biosecurity risk) and to offer the greatest ability for already-existing flora and fauna to benefit. The maximum possible proportion of new trees should be native, and UK and Ireland Sourced and Grown (UKISG).¹ Not only are some pests hazardous to human health, but supporting local nurseries and tree growers confers an economic benefit. The overall ambition should be for a canopy cover of 30 per cent in new developments.

It is essential that the climate and nature crises are addressed jointly, with trees' remarkable ability to fight each recognised by the LP. Resolving the nature crisis resolves the climate crisis, but this is not necessarily true the other way around. So, while encouraged by the LP's references to trees' biodiversity contribution, we would like it to go further, assigning definite BNG targets while grasping future opportunities afforded by Local Nature Recovery Strategies (LNRSes) required by the Environment Act 2021. Complementing these should be a robust commitment to protection for individual ancient and veteran trees where identified.

Specifically, we would like to see the LP expand on these environmental principles in the following ways.

1. Protection of valued habitats must be at the heart of the LP. In particular, irreplaceable habitats, **including ancient and veteran trees, must be protected from loss and damage.** To achieve this, the LP should:
 - Give weight to the relevant LNRS, as it is refined, which should identify ancient woodland sites, to ensure that development is not allocated in close proximity to ancient woodland.

¹ <https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/about-us/what-we-do/we-plant-trees/uk-sourced-and-grown-scheme/>

- For veteran trees, the LP should encourage them to be recorded on the Ancient Tree Inventory,² and to consider locations where it might be suitable to place a Tree Preservation Order on any ancient, veteran or notable trees recorded. In addition, the LP should encourage a buffer zone³ to exceed the minimum distances stipulated in planning advice.
 - For **non**-ancient and veteran trees, adopt the Bristol Tree Replacement Standard⁴ with respect to felling and specify replacement trees be planted no more than 12 times the distance of the original tree's trunk diameter, to correspond with root extent area.
2. The LP must go beyond minimum requirements for BNG and be an example of best practice.
- The LP should require development projects to deliver 20 per cent BNG.⁵
 - Consideration should be given to the quantum of other investment sources (public and private) which will be needed in order to meet these targets.
 - The LP should require BNG units to be maintained for a minimum of 50 years, not just the 30 set out in the Environment Act.
 - This is particularly important for woodland creation, as it takes many decades for new woods to reach maturity and their full ecological potential.
 - BNG should deliver a rich mix of habitats including native woodland, informed by LNRs.
 - Habitat creation funded through other mechanisms (such as public funds) should also be maintained in the long term.
3. The LP should give strong weight to LNRs for development site allocation at a local level.
- This will be essential to embed avoidance of impacts to existing sensitive natural assets, by providing a 'spatial' element to site allocation decisions. It is vital that development is allocated in a way which protects important sites for nature, maintains ecological integrity and maximises potential enhancements from land in recovery.
 - Once a site has been allocated in a local plan, it is more likely to receive planning permission, so it is essential to embed ecologically coherent criteria for spatial prioritisation at the framework level.
 - LNRs should also be used to inform priority locations for the provision of green infrastructure, and habitat creation and enhancement through BNG.
4. The LP should set standards for high-quality green infrastructure for development.
- Everyone should be able to see three trees from their home.
 - Similarly, no one should be more than 300 metres from the nearest natural green space, with safe and accessible routes.
 - Consideration should also be given to the Woodland Trust's Access to Woodland Standard which aspires that everyone should have a small wood of at least two hectares in size within 500 metres of their home, and a larger wood of at least 20 hectares in size within four kilometres of where they live.

² <https://ati.woodlandtrust.org.uk>

³ <https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/media/51153/ancient-and-veteran-trees-an-assessment-guide.pdf>

⁴ <https://bristoltreeforum.files.wordpress.com/2020/03/bristol-tree-replacement-standard-btrs.pdf>

⁵ [The Biodiversity Metric 3.0 – JP039 \(naturalengland.org.uk\)](https://www.naturalengland.org.uk/Policy-and-Programme/Policy-and-Programme-Details/Biodiversity-Metric-3.0-JP039)

- A strong tree retention standard⁶ for responsible development must also be embraced, ensuring the preservation of trees and their ecological benefits. This standard will require a thorough tree survey during initial site investigations, categorising trees by their health and quality (A, B, C or U), and submitting a clear Tree Retention Plan. Additionally, it will mandate the creation of a Tree Protection Plan, safeguarding tree root systems and establishing construction exclusion zones (CEZs).

In summary, we consider that the Environmental Principles must be treated as a foundational component of the LP. As part of incorporating the principles, the LP must support the protection of sensitive natural assets, such as ancient and veteran trees; be an exemplar of emerging BNG practice; and set high standards for the retention and provision of trees within developments.

⁶ <https://crawley.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/PUB285867.pdf>

Table 1. Site Allocations in the Ashfield Local Plan 2023-40 which contain, or are directly adjacent to, ancient woodland and/or significant trees

Site reference number and Planning Application number if given	Name or description of site (page no. in the relevant pdf file)	Nearest Town	Proposed Development description if indicated	Contains ancient woodland (AW); adjacent to AW or within AW? Contains or adjacent to significant trees	<u>Type</u> of woodland affected? E.g. ASNW, PAWS <u>Name</u> of woodland <u>Size</u> of woodland affected (in Ha) Grid reference <u>Types</u> of significant trees affected and Tree ID
<p>Site Reference S6b: Land to the South-East of (M1) Junction 27.</p>	<p>Land to the South- East of Junction 27 comprising a gross area of approximately 25 hectares towards the latter part of the Plan Period. Page 50</p>	<p>Selston</p>	<p>Employment land development, principally for logistics and distribution (note linked to HS2b requirements) 25 Ha</p>	<p>Adjacent to AW</p>	<p>Annesley Park Oak Plantation ASNW 1.44 Ha SK49945164</p>
<p>Site Reference H1Hd: Land adjoining Stubbing Wood Farm, Hucknall</p>	<p>Land adjoining Stubbing Wood Farm, Watnall Road, Hucknall Page 156</p>	<p>Hucknall</p>	<p>Housing 198 Dwellings ? Ha No site area details provided</p>	<p>Adjacent to AW Note that the AW is outside the boundary of Ashfield</p>	<p>Starth Wood ASNW 6.04 Ha SK51514740 (this AW, and the need to protect it, is mentioned in site details on page 157)</p>