



2020 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

For Ashfield District Council

In fulfillment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management 29th October 2020

Local	Steve Fell
Authority	Senior Environmental Protection
Officer	Technician
Department	Place and Community
Address	Ashfield District Council
	Urban Road
	Kirkby-in-Ashfield
	Nottinghamshire
	NG17 8DA
Telephone	01623 457416
e-mail	s.fell@ashfield.gov.uk
Report	
Reference	ADC/ASR (1) 2020
Number	
Date	29th October 2020

Executive Summary - Air Quality in Our Area

Air Quality in Ashfield

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion³.

Since 2003 Ashfield District Council has undertaken monitoring of the air quality within the district under the Local Air Quality Management regime and reports back to DEFRA. Fortunately, Ashfield District Council has been able to consistently meet the Air Quality Objectives set by National Government in relation to Local Air Quality Management.

In 2018 the Authority had to undertake a feasibility study for DEFRA following a Ministerial direction due to an exceedance of an Air Quality Objective along a stretch of the A38. The exceedance was based on the roadside Nitrogen Dioxide concentration and was identified by the governments Climate Pollution Mapping Model. Defra accepted the conclusions highlighted within the feasibility study, however despite this the Authority has continued to monitor the air quality along the A38 and the trend is down at Fullwood Cutting the location originally identified by the Ministerial direction.

LAQM Annual Status Report 2020

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

The District of Ashfield is a Smoke Control Area and the Environmental Protection Team at Ashfield District Council use the Clean Air Act Legislation to control the levels of air pollution from domestic, commercial and industrial combustion activities. In addition, air pollution within the district from key industrial processes is regulated by the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016.

The Trans-boundary nature of air pollution sources and episodes make it a prerequisite that Ashfield District Council works with partner organisations to control air pollution problems and develop strategies for reducing levels of detrimental air pollution. These partner organisations include the Environment Agency, Pubic Health England and the neighbouring Nottinghamshire Authorities through the work of the Nottinghamshire Environmental Protection Working Group and at the regional level through the work of the East Midlands Air Quality Network. In 2018 we worked jointly with Bolsover District Council after the Government issued a Ministerial direction in February 2018, both Authorities had an exceedance of an Air Quality Objective based on the Climate Pollution Mapping Model along a stretch of the A38

This report focuses on monitoring data collected during 2019 and again the District of Ashfield continues to meet the air quality objectives set by National Government in relation to Local Air Quality Management. However, this is not the case nationally and now local authorities are also being asked to report on actions and initiatives they are undertaking to improve local and regional air quality.

Actions to Improve Air Quality



Ashfield District Council has not had to declare an AQMA but it is still important for the Council to take steps to address air quality within the District.

• Over the last year the new draft Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy has now been reviewed and amended by the public health teams at Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council in addition to the Environmental Health Chief Officers group. It is currently awaiting an official launch.

- As an Authority Ashfield District Council has promoted the use of more sustainable forms of transport within in its operational fleet, as a means of reducing the effects of detrimental Air Quality. The Council started to use electric bin lifts, fitted to its refuse collection vehicles in 2011 and now operates 14 vehicles, 70% of its refuse collection vehicles. The Council obtained its first full electric van in 2015 and continues to evaluate the opportunity to convert further vehicles to hybrid or full electric as they become widely available from the vehicle manufactures. There have been no changes to the transport fleet during 2019 whilst the service has been undergoing a comprehensive review. Transport fleet renewals are now being looked at providing an opportunity to increase the Electric or Hybrid Fleet. All new van renewals are to the Euro 6 Standard for engines.
- The Council supports the bikes to work scheme for all employees and provide facilities to encourage employees to cycle to work.
- As already stated the Council will continue to enforce all legislation aimed at reducing air pollution and it will continue to make assessments of all new commercial, industrial and large domestic housing projects that apply for planning approval.

Conclusions and Priorities

During 2019 the District of Ashfield continued to meet the air quality objectives set by National Government based on monitoring data, however this is not the case nationally and now local authorities are also being asked to report on actions and initiatives they are undertaking to improve local and regional air quality

Despite Ashfield District Council not having any AQMA's our priority is to continue working with partners such as Public Health England to develop public engagement in light of the health issues associated with detrimental air quality and with neighbouring Nottinghamshire Authorities and Nottinghamshire County Council to implement the updated Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy.

Promoting public awareness of air pollution and the actions that individuals can take to reduce air pollution should help to ensure that the levels of Air Pollution within the District of Ashfield continue to the meet National Air Quality Objectives. It is important that the health effects of detrimental air quality are conveyed to the public and highlight to the public that even lower levels of air pollutants can affect public health

In Hucknall the District borders the Nottingham Clean Air Zone and our engagement with Public Health England and the work with the Nottinghamshire Environmental Protection Working Group will help to address this issue. People commuting from neighbouring District Authority areas into Nottingham City can have an impact on their air pollution problems and it again highlights the importance of engaging with the public to promote sustainable transport options.

Local Engagement and How to Get Involved

Residents living or working in Ashfield and Business's based in Ashfield can take steps to improve local air quality by electing to adjust their life style choices. These changes centre on the increased use of sustainable transport and a reduction in personal car use.

Ashfield has good links to all forms of public transport. The Robin Hood railway line (which runs from Nottingham to Worksop) has stations at Kirkby-in-Ashfield, Sutton Parkway and Hucknall. Hucknall is also a terminus for the Nottingham Express Transit (NET) tram route to Nottingham.

Walking and cycling are both good for an individual's general health but it also makes a positive contribution to resolving the problems associated with detrimental air quality in congested areas. Contact your local school and enquire whether they operate any group cycling or walking schemes.

When the time comes to replacing your existing vehicle consider purchasing an electric or low emission vehicle. The Government via OLEV (the Office of Low Emission Vehicles) are providing a number of different grant schemes and tax incentives to encourage the general public and business to switch to using electric and low emission vehicles. There are grants available that promote the use of plug in electric cars and vans. Coupled with 'feed in tariffs' that enable consumers to get money for generating their own electricity these incentives could help the domestic consumer to reduce the cost of running a car whilst also making a contribution to reducing the levels of anthropogenic air pollution.

There are also incentives through grants and tax incentives to encourage business to switch to electric or low emission vehicles. There is specific grant money available for public transport providers to purchase cleaner, greener buses. In addition to electric and hybrid vehicles the government are also encouraging business to develop the electric charging network.

The whole of the district of Ashfield has been designated a Smoke Control Area under the Clean Air Act 1993 and whilst this places restrictions on the burning of waste by business it does not completely prohibit the burning of waste by householders who can still burn garden waste provided they do not cause nuisance to other residents. All forms of combustion including bonfires can give rise to increases in the levels of particulate both PM₁₀ and PM _{2.5} which leads to increases in cardiovascular and respiratory diseases especially within vulnerable groups such as the elderly, children and asthma sufferers. Local residents can contribute to reducing particulates by recycling waste rather than burning it. Ashfield District Council currently offers a garden waste collection scheme using the fortnightly collection of brown/black lidded bins and large quantities of waste can be taken to the household waste recycling centres. They are located at Wigwam lane Hucknall, Sidings Road Kirkby in Ashfield and at Hermitage Lane Mansfield. It is important that Nottinghamshire residents register with Nottinghamshire County Council before using the household waste recycling centres.

Table of Contents

Ex	ecutiv	ve Summary - Air Quality in Our Area	1
	Air C	Quality in Ashfield	1
	Actio	ons to Improve Air Quality	3
		clusions and Priorities	
	Loca	I Engagement and How to Get Involved	6
1	Loc	al Air Quality Management	11
2	Acti	ons to Improve Air Quality	112
	2.1	Air Quality Management Areas	112
	2.2	Progress and Impact of Measures to address in Air Quality in Ashfield	112
	2.3	PM _{2.5} Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and or Concentrations	17
3	Air	Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National C	ompliance119
	3.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	119
	3.2	Individual Pollutants	119
Аp	pendi	ix A: Monitoring Results	21
Аp	pendi	ix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2017	27
А р	pendi	ix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC	28
Аp	pendi	ix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations	44

Appendix E: Diffusion Tube Results Trend Analysis	67
Appendix F: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England	84
Glossary of Terms	85
References	86

List of Tables

Table 2.1 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Table A.1- Details of Non - Automatic Monitoring Sites

Table A.2– Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results 2015 - 2019

Table C.1 – Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor

Table F.1 – Summery of Air Quality Objectives for England

List of Figures

Figure E.1 – Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Outram Street, Sutton

Figure E.2 – Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Dalestorth Street, Sutton

- Figure E.3 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Field Place A38, Sutton
- Figure E.4 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Church Hill, Kirkby
- Figure E.5 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at M1 Pinxton
- Figure E.6 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Station Road, Sutton
- Figure E.7 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Common Road, Huthwaite
- Figure E.8 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Badger Box, Annesley
- Figure E.9 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Croft Primary, Sutton
- Figure E.10 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Stoneyford Court, Sutton
- Figure E.11 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Kirkby Cross
- Figure E.12 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Mansfield Road, Selston
- Figure E.13 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Ashgate Road, Hucknall
- Figure E.14 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Mansfield Road, Sutton
- Figure E.15 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Fullwood Cutting, A38 Sutton
- Figure E.16 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Snipe, A38 Sutton
- Figure E.17– Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide tubes located at Kingsway, Kirkby

1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Ashfield during 2019. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by District of Ashfield to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in Table F.1 in Appendix F.

2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

Ashfield District Council currently does not have any Air Quality Management Areas. For reference, a map of Ashfield District Council's monitoring locations is available in Appendix D

2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address in Air Quality in Ashfield

Defra's appraisal of last year's ASR concluded Ashfield District Council have not declared any AQMAs nor do they undertake any automatic monitoring.

Non-automatic (passive) monitoring of NO₂ was conducted at 19 sites during 2018. One exceedance of the annual mean objective was measured, however following distance correction to the nearest relevant exposure this was reduced to zero exceedances. Data capture was below 75% at four sites, with data capture good at all other monitoring locations.

QA/QC procedures have been applied with a national bias adjustment factor used. Distance corrections were conducted for sites not representative of relevant exposure. Annualisation was carried out for the sites with data capture <75%. The report provides maps of each monitoring site and a breakdown of historical trend data.

There is detailed discussion of the measures taken during 2018 to tackle air quality. Priorities for the next reporting year are outlined.

On the basis of the evidence provided by the local authority the conclusions reached are acceptable for all sources and pollutants. Following the completion of this report, Ashfield District Council should submit an Annual Status Report in 2020.

Ashfield District Council has taken forward a number of measures during the current reporting Year of 2019 in pursuit of improving air quality. Details of the measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 2.1.

Progress on measure one which was the development of an Air Quality Planning Guidance Document has still not been moved forwards over the last year. The Development of an Air Quality Planning Guidance Document remains an option and Table 2.1 has again been updated with a more realistic timescale. The adoption of the Local Plan is currently a priority for the forward planning team.

Ashfield District Council can confirm that Measure Two which was the development of an updated Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy is now achieved. The Strategy document as now been approved by the Public Health Team at Nottinghamshire County Council, the Public Health Team at Nottingham City Council and the Chief Environmental Health Officers group. It is now awaiting a formal launch.

Measure Three is ongoing and through the work of the Nottinghamshire Environmental Protection Working Group the Environmental Protection Team at Ashfield have developed closer working links with the Public Health Team at Nottinghamshire County Council.

Measure four which is a promotional event around National Clean Air Day and which encourages public participation is an ongoing measure. Ashfield District Council undertook a limited event for Clean Air Day in 2019 that focussed on the Clean Air Act legislation. Ashfield is smoke control area and the council were receiving an increasing number of complaints from residents reporting problems with domestic wood burning on exempt appliances and so the Council promoted guidance for burning wood on exempt appliances.

Measures Five and Six were to work with the licencing team on the development of a Taxi Licencing Policy which encompassed incorporation of more stringent emission limits on new taxi licences was not achieved. These measures have been left on the table to progress the measures at a later date.

During 2019 Ashfield District Council used grant money to install electric charging points at four locations within the district.

- At Kings Mill Reservoir in Sutton in Ashfield there are now three charging points available including rapid charging.
- At Yorke Street car park in Hucknall there are now three charging points available including rapid charging.
- At the Council Offices in Kirkby in Ashfield two standard charging point are available.
- At New Street car park in Sutton in Ashfield two standard charging point are available.

In addition the development control team are now both accepting conditions in relation to the installation of infrastructure for charging points and for the installation of charging points. There is an expectation for larger commercial developments to install either the infrastructure for charging points or the charging points as part of planning conditions. An example would be the

expansion of the East Midlands Designer Outlet which as a condition of their planning application installed two standard charging points.

Table 2.1 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Mea sure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Perform ance Indicato r	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	Progres s to Date	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
1	Work with development control to produce an Air Quality Planning Guidance Document	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	Policy Guidance And Development	ADC	2018 - 2019	2019-2021	N/A	Not Known	None	2022	
2	Development of a Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy	Working with Regional Groups to develop Area Wide Strategies	Control	Nottingham City	Started	Started September 2016 following a Second Workshop with Development Control and Lead Councillors from Each Nottinghamshire Authority	N/A	Not Known	Approved.	2020	Waiting an Official Launch
3	Working with Public Health England to promote public involvement.	Working with Regional Groups to develop Area Wide Strategies	Control	Nottinghamshire County Council Transport and Public Health England	Started	Ongoing	N/A	Not Known	Started	Ongoing	
4	Undertake a promotional event around Clean Air Day 2019	Public Information	Control & Promoting Low Emission Transport	ADC	Sept - Dec 2018	Spring 2019	N/A	Not Known	None	Ongoing	Limited event which did not involve the other Nottinghamshire Authorities
5	New Taxi Licencing Policy to include low emission vehicles	Taxi Licencing Conditions	Promoting Low Emission Transport	ADC	Started	2017-2018	N/A	Not Known	None	Not Known	Euro 5 for Petrol Euro 6 for Diesel

Ashfield District Council

Mea sure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Perform ance Indicato r	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	•	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
6	New Taxi Licencing Policy to include low emission vehicles	Taxi Licencing Conditions	Promoting Low Emission Transport	Newark and Sherwood	Started	2018-2019?	N/A	Yes in Some Nottinghamshire Authorities	None	Not Known	Electric Vehicles
7	Developing the EV Charging infra structure within Ashfield	Promoting Low Emission Transport	EV Recharging	ADC	Discussions Started	2018 onwards	N/A	Not Known	Started	Ongoing	

2.3 PM_{2.5} Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less). There is clear evidence that PM_{2.5} has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

Ashfield District Council has not undertaken any continuous monitoring of PM_{2.5} particulate matter during 2019 and does not have the continuous monitoring equipement available to monitor PM_{2.5} particulate matter. Having reviewed the monitoring data from published background maps it does not identify any 'hot spot area's' located within Ashfield for PM_{2.5} particulate matter.

Ashfield District Council is taking the following measures to address PM_{2.5} particulates:

- It is important that we continue to enforce the Clean Air Act 1993 and the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales)
 Regulations 2016 to control particulate emissions from industrial processes including combustion processes and to ensure that domestic combustion is controlled.
- Where planning applications are received for new industrial and commercial processes that require an air quality assessment then the modelling of PM_{2.5} particulate emissions will need to be assessed. Measure one of table 2.1 highlights the development of an air quality planning and guidance policy document and this needs to address the problem of PM_{2.5} particulate emissions.

• Measure two of table 2.1 highlights the importance of working with partners to update the Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy. PM_{2.5} particulate matter was considered when developing the new strategy. Working with Public Healh England through the East Midland Air Quality Network provided the opportunity to ensure that any guidance, measures and targets are based on up to date knowledge and provided the opportunity to link the Nottinghamshire Air Quality Strategy with the latest public health outcomes.

3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

During 2019, the District of Ashfield continued to meet the air quality objectives set by National Government based on monitoring data.

There have been a number of changes to the monitoring strategy during 2019 which resulted in monitoring being extended to four new locations. Two of these locations were temporary and were as a result of receiving complaints from the public. The site at Hucknall Leisure Centre was monitored by a single diffusion tube for 4 months and the bias adjusted and annualised result was 18.2 µg/m³ and the site at Oakfield Avenue was monitored by a single diffusion tube for 4 months and the bias adjusted and annualised result was 27.6 µg/m³.

The locations at Stonyford Road, Stanton Hill, Sutton in Ashfield and the location at Wagnall Road Hucknall were both selected to monitor roadside concentrations of Nitrogen Dioxide as a result of planning approval being granted to a number of residential developments and which could have a cumulative impact on local air quality at these locations.

The Council has also continued monitoring roadside nitrogen dioxide using triplicate diffusion tubes at two locations on the A38 again as a consequence of the Ministerial direction in 2018. These locations were selected to monitor roadside emissions and were not strictly part of the Councils LAQM monitoring regime but the results have been recorded in the report.

3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

Ashfield District Council undertook no automatic (continuous) monitoring during 2019.

3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Ashfield District Council undertook non- automatic (passive) monitoring of NO₂ at 23 sites during 2019. Table A1 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D. Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) and bias adjustment for the diffusion tubes are included in Appendix C.

3.2 Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for "annualisation" and bias. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C.

3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Table A2 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO₂ annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of 40µg/m³.

For diffusion tubes, the full 2019 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in Appendix B.

During 2019 there were no exceedances of the air quality objective of 40µg/m³ and Ashfield District Council has not had to undertake a detailed assessment or declare an Air Quality Management Area.

3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

No monitoring of Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) was carried out within the district during 2019.

3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

No monitoring of Particulate Matter (PM2.5) was carried out within the district during 2019*.

3.2.4 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

No monitoring of Sulphur Dioxide was carried out within the district during 2019.

Appendix A: Monitoring Results

Table A1 – Details of Non - Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA ?	to Relevant Exposure (m) (1)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) (2)	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
Tube 4	Outram Street Sutton	Urban Centre	449628	358967	NO ₂	No	3	1.5	No	2
Tube 5	Dalestorth Street Sutton	Roadside	450062	359653	NO ₂	No	1.7	1	No	2
Tubs 7	A38 Sutton	Other	448987	357610	NO ₂	No	10	2.5	No	2
Tube 10/11/12	Church Hill Kirkby	Kerbside	448968	355816	NO ₂	No	1.5	0.5	No	2
Tube 14	M1 Pinxton	Other	446492	355266	NO ₂	No	28	22	No	2
Tube 22	Station Road Sutton	Other	450259	358512	NO ₂	No	12.7	2.4	No	2
Tube 23	Common Road Huthwaite	Roadside	446827	358508	NO ₂	No	2.4	2.4	No	2
Tube 27/28/ 29	Badger Box Annessly	Roadside	450844	353799	NO ₂	No	9	2	No	2
Tube 31	Sutton Croft Primary	Kerbside	449850	358779	NO ₂	No	4.5	2.5	No	2
Tube 35	Sutton Stoneyford	Roadside	449812	359577	NO ₂	No	6	3.5	No	2

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA ?	to Relevant Exposure (m) (1)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) (2)	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
	Court									
Tube 37/38/ 39	Kirkby Cross	Roadside	449017	356204	NO ₂	No	0.5	0.5	No	2
Tube 40	Mansfield Road Selston	Roadside	447037	353573	NO ₂	No	2.8	1.5	No	2
Tube 41,	Ashgate Road	Roadside	454057	348989	NO ₂	No	2.8	3.5	NO	2
Tube 44	Mansfield Road Sutton in Ashfield	Roadside	449923	359563	NO ₂	No	1.6	0.5	No	2
Tubes 45/46/47	Fullwood Cutting A38	Roadside	446696	357325	NO ₂	No	4.8	12.0	No	2
Tube 48	Alfreton Road Sutton In Ashfield	Roadside	447831	357752	NO ₂	No	2.6	1.5	No	2
Tube 52	Kingsway Kirkby In Ashfield	Kerbside	450698	355953	NO ₂	No	2	1	No	2
Tubes 53/54/55	A38 Snipe	Roadside	447862	357543	NO ₂	No	12	2	No	2
Tube 56	Stanton Hill	Roadside	448594	360555	NO ₂	No	16	3	No	2
Tube 57	Hucknall Leisure	Other	453664	349532	NO ₂	No	-	-	No	2

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA ?	to Relevant Exposure (m) (1)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) (2)	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
	Centre									
	Rookery									2
Tube 58	Lane	Other	447447	357447	NO ₂	No	12	17.5	No	
	Sutton									
	Oakfield									2
Tube 59	Avenue	Other	449081	357653	NO ₂	No	21	30	No	
	Sutton									
	Watnall									2
Tube 60	Road	Roadside	452432	347873	NO ₂	No	3	24	NO	
	Hucknall									

Table A.2 – Annual Mean NO₂ Monitoring Results

01/ 15	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring	Valid Data Capture 2019	NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m³) ⁽³⁾						
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Period (%) (1)	(%) ⁽²⁾	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		
Tube 4 Outram Street Sutton	Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube		100 %	27.8	31.2	29.6	29.0	28.6		
Tube 5 Dalestorth Street Sutton	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100 %	31.9	33.8	30.4	31.5	32.1		
Tube 7 A38 Sutton	Other	Diffusion Tube		100 %	25.7	28.3	24.0	25.2	24.2		
Tube 10/11/12 Church Hill Kirkby	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube		100 %	37.1	40.6	35.3	35.2	36.7		
Tube 14 M1 Pinxton	Other	Diffusion Tube		!00%	27.6	28.0	29.3	28.9	25.5		
Tube 22 Station Road Sutton	Other	Diffusion Tube		100%	32.9	32.3	33.4	31.8	32.5		
Tube 23 Common Road Huthwaite	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	33.2	34.1	33.5	32.7	32.6		
Tube 27/28/29 Badger Box Annesley	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	32.9	34.9	34.7	33.0	32.1		
Tube 31 Croft Primary Sutton	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube		100%	27.8	28.8	27.0	27.4	26.8		
Tube 35 Stoneyford Court Sutton	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	28.8	30.9	28.6	30.9	28.8		
Tube 37/38/39	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	<u>31.6</u>	34.6	33.1	33.2	29.7		

Kirkby Cross									
Tube 40 Mansfield Road Selston	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%		29.0	24.7	27.0	24.8
Tube 41, Ashgate Road Hucknall	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	24.3	24.5	26.3	23.7	23.2
Tube 44 Mansfield Road Sutton In Ashfield	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		!00%	_	_	31.1	31.6	31.9
Tubes 45/46/47 Fullwood Cutting A38	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		100%	-	-	-	39.1	37.7
Tube 48 Alfreton Road	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100%	34.4%	-	-	-	26.6	33.0
Tube 52 Kingsway Kirkby In Ashfield	Roadside	Diffusion Tube		!00%	-	-	-	33.9	33.4
Tubes 53/54/55 Snipe Loc2	Roadside	Diffusion Tubes		100%	-	-	-	36.7	47.9
Tube 56 Stanton Hill	Roadside	Diffusion Tubes		83%	-	-	-	-	23.7
Tube 57 Hucknall Leisure Centre	Other	Diffusion Tubes	100%	34.4%	-	-	-	-	18.2
Tube 58 Rookery Lane	Other	Diffusion Tubes	100%	66.7%	-	-	-	-	33.1
Tube 59 Oakfield Avenue	Other	Diffusion Tubes	100%	41.7%	-	-	-	-	27.6
Tube 60 Watnall Road	Roadside	Diffusion Tubes	100%	17%	-	-	-	-	25.0

- ☑ Diffusion tube data has been bias corrected
- ✓ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%</p>

Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2019

Table B.1 – NO₂ Monthly Diffusion Tube Results – 2019

	NO ₂ Mean Concentrations (μg/m³)														
														Annual Mea	n
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.93) and Annualised	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure
Tube 4	43.4	39.6	38.1	25.7	26.7	25.8	26.6	20.5	29.7	29.3	33.5	30.8	30.8	28.6	26.5
Tube 5	40.8	48.8	34.4	31.5	27.5	25.3	27.6	30.3	32.4	34.3	41.2	40.2	34.5	32.1	30.2
Tube 7	33.8	29.6	25.8	26.9	24.0	22.5	21.0	15.9	25.4	26.1	33.7	27.6	26.0	24.2	21.0
Tubes 10/11/12	44.7	52.2	37.1	34.1	36.6	36.4	35.8	32.3	40.0	38.8	46.7	39.8	39.5	36.7	31.9
Tube 14	42.1	38.0	30.5	18.6	21.5	23.1	23.7	24.6	27.4	25.1	34.2	20.4	27.4	25.5	22.5
Tube 22	44.3	51.6	37.4	30.1	28.3	27.5	27.0	29.6	32.2	34.7	38.3	38.3	34.9	32.5	26.3
Tube 23	43.1	48.6	37.2	30.5	29.3	27.6	29.1	32.2	31.4	34.5	40.5	37.7	35.1	32.6	n/a
Tubes 27/28/29	49.9	48.8	41.0	20.2	27.9	26.3	28.2	32.4	34.4	30.1	36.9	37.4	34.5	32.1	26.6
Tube 31	39.9	40.5	31.7	22.3	23.9	24.0	24.6	19.2	27.9	24.6	38.0	28.8	28.8	26.8	25.1
Tube 35	40.8	48.6	29.9	26.9	18.4	23.6	26.1	25.3	29.9	34.5	30.5	37.1	31.0	28.8	26.7
Tubes 37/38/39	38.5	42.5	27.4	35.9	27.2	27.5	23.9	20.1	30.1	33.2	44.5	31.4	31.9	29.7	n/a
Tube 40	36.4	34.6	25.1	27.6	21.0	21.0	20.2	18.0	23.7	27.2	39.9	25.8	26.7	24.8	24.0
Tube 41	37.5	39.3	26.3	16.6	17.3	16.7	19.0	21.0	23.7	27.2	28.0	26.6	24.9	23.2	22.8
Tube 44	49.0	40.7	40.1	29.1	31.2	26.7	30.1	22.5	34.7	29.6	44.6	33.7	34.3	31.9	28.3
Tubes 45/46/47	43.1	53.7	43.0	38.0	32.7	32.5	35.2	40.7	40.6	37.7	42.9	46.2	40.5	37.7	31.7
Tube 48	32.4	43.6	28.9	23.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.9	33.0	30.8
Tube 52	51.0	36.6	43.7	31.1	34.2	30.8	32.0	29.5	35.1	32.3	42.1	32.3	35.9	33.4	31.0
Tubes 53/54/55	57.4	62.9	54.9	41.5	46.8	45.6	51.9	51.5	57.8	46.7	49.1	51.7	51.5	47.9	22.7
Tube 56	-	38.2	28.4	26.3	26.0	24.8	24.0	21.7	28.3	30.7	-	32.5	25.5	23.7	22.1
Tube 57	-	32.0	19.2	15.1	12.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.8	18.2	n/a
Tube 58	-	-	-	-	26.0	28.4	30.5	35.9	34.4	31.9	34.8	39.6	32.7	33.1	30.3
Tube 59	-	-	-	-	-	22.1	22.0	24.0	25.7	24.1	-	-	23.6	27.6	25.3
Tube 60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.0	30.0	35.5	25.0	19.9

[✓] National bias adjustment factor used

[✓]Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

Laboratory Used

Nottinghamshire Authorities agreed to employ a single laboratory to undertake the supply and analysis of diffusion tubes. All authorities have agreed to use Gradko Laboratories, utilising the 20% TEA in Water. This is to enable the authorities to effectively compare results over the whole of the county.

Consequently, Ashfield District Council started utilising Gradko Laboratories from April, 2008 and continues to do so.

Laboratory Performance

There can be considerable differences in diffusion tube performance due to a number of factors. One of the issues affecting diffusion tubes is the exposure procedures employed.

Such factors have been reduced as much as possible by Ashfield District Council implementing the Quality Assurance procedures, in the deployment, exposure and collection of the tubes. However, another factor in diffusion tube performance is related to the way in which the diffusion tubes are prepared and analysed. Accordingly, it is important the Council utilise the services of a Laboratory that operates its own QA/QC systems to ensure reliability and consistency of analysis results.

Ashfield District Council utilise the services of Gradko Laboratories for the supply and analysis of Nitrogen Dioxide diffusion tubes. Gradko is UKAS accredited for Nitrogen Dioxide diffusion tube analysis. Additionally, they participate in a centralised QA/QC scheme, namely the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP). WASP is an independent analytical performance testing scheme, operated by the Health and Safety Laboratory (HSL). It is recommended that diffusion tubes used for Local Air Quality Management should be obtained from laboratories that have demonstrated satisfactory performance in the WASP scheme. From the report 'Annual Performance Criteria for NO₂ Diffusion Tubes used in Local Air Quality Management (LAQM), 2008 onwards, and Summary of Laboratory Performance in Rounds 98-102' (February 2009), it is shown that Grodno's performance has been rated as Good.

Gradko Laboratories NO₂ diffusion tube procedures have been amended to follow the guidelines of the DEFRA document related to the preparation, extraction, analysis and calculation procedures for NO₂ passive diffusion tubes. These amendments are minimal

because they already carried out most of the procedures before the introduction of the Guidelines. Their internal analysis procedures are assessed by U.K.A.S. on an annual basis for compliance to ISO17025.

Bias Adjustment Factors

Diffusion tubes generally under or over-read when compared to a reference automatic analyser. This is referred to as bias. This bias can be corrected by applying a correction factor that is derived either from a local study or from a nationally derived database. Local Authorities are advised to report on both local and national adjustment factors and thereafter decide which to utilise, depending on a number of factors.

Ashfield District Council did not have suitable data to undertake a co-location study to calculate a local bias factor. Therefore, the bias adjustment factor derived from the national database has been utilised for the purpose of this report.

This report as used a Bias Adjustment Factor of 0.93

Table C.1 Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor

National Diffusion Tube	Bias Adju	ıstment	Fa	ctor Spreadsheet			Spreadsh	eet Ver	sion Numl	ber: 03/20		
Follow the steps below in the correct ord Data only apply to tubes exposed monthly a Whenever presenting adjusted data, you sh This spreadhseet will be updated every few	nd are not suitable f ould state the adjust	or correcting tment factor u	individu sed an	ual short-term monitoring periods Id the version of the spreadsheet	ourage their	immediate use	e.		spreadshe led at the el 2020 W Helpdesi			
The LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of Defra and the Devolved Administrations by Bureau Veritas, in conjunction with contract partners AECOM and the National Physical Laboratory. Spreadsheet maintained by the National Physical Laboratory.										y. Original		
Step 1:	Step 1: Step 2: Step 3: Step 4:											
Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your Tubes from the Drop-Down List	Select a Preparation Method from the	Select a Year from the Drop-Down Liet	Where there is only one study for a chosen combination, you should use the adjustment factor shown with caution. Where there is more than one study use the overall factor shown in blue at the foot of									
If a laboratory ir notzhoun, we have no data for thir laboratory.	If a proparation mothod ir n. trhown, wo have no data ior thir mothod at thir laboratory.	If a year ir not shown, we have no data ²	lf :	you have your own co-location study the Management Helpdesk at L			iveritas, com or 0					
Analysed By ¹ ▼	Method Table Strangers, Sales	Year	Site Typ e	Local Authority		Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) (µg/m³)	Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm)	Bias (B)	Tube Precisio n	Adjustme nt Factor (A)		
Gradko	20% TEA in water	2019		Overall Factor ¹ (27 studies)		- 28.000			Use	0.93		

Nitrogen Dioxide – Distance Fall-Off Calculations

Sutton Outram Street (Tube 4)

How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.5	metres
How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	3	metres
What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m³)?	(Note	15.1	μg/m³
What is your measured annual mean NO₂	(Note	28.6	μg/m³
The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in	(Note		μg/m³
	made (in metres)? How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)? What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	made (in metres)? How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ (Note concentration (in μg/m³)? What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ (Note concentration (in μg/m³)? The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in (Note	made (in metres)? How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ (Note concentration (in μg/m³)? What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ (Note concentration (in μg/m³)? 28.6 The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in (Note

Sutton Dalestorth Street (Tube 5)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	1.7	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.8	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	32.1	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note	30.2	μg/m³

Sutton A38 Fire Station (Tube 7)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	10	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.9	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	24.2	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note	21.0	μg/m³

Kirkby Church Hill (10,11and 12)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	0.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	1.5	metres
	,	-,		
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	12.1	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	36.7	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	31.9	μg/m³

M1 Pinxton (Tube14)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	22	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	28	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	18.1	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	25.5	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	22.5	μg/m³

Hucknall Ashgate Road (Tube 41)

	How far from the KERB was your measurement	(Note		
Step 1	made (in metres)?	1)	3.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2.8	metres
Ston 2	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂	(Note	42.4	art 3
Step 3	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	13.4	μg/m³
	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂	(Note		
Step 4	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	23.2	μg/m³
	The mundicated empired macro NO. componentiation (in	(31.4.		
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	22.8	μg/m³

Sutton Station Road (Tube 22)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2.4	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	12.7	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	17.2	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	32.5	μg/m³
	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in	(Note		
Result	μg/m³) at your receptor	3)	26.3	μg/m³

Common Road Huthwaite (Tube 23)

No Distance fall off

Annesley Badger Box (Tubes 27, 28 and 29)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	9	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	16.5	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	32.1	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note	26.6	μg/m³

Sutton Croft Primary Station Road (Tube 31)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2.5	metres
	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in	(Note		
Step 2	metres)?	1)	4.5	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	15.1	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	26.8	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	25.1	μg/m³

Stoneyford Court Sutton (Tube 35)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	3.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	6	metres
•	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂	(Note		
Step 3	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	14.4	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	28.8	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	26.7	μg/m³

Kirkby Cross (Tubes 37, 38 and 39)

No Distance fall off

Mansfield Road Selston (Tube 40)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2.8	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	19.0	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	24.8	μg/m³
Step 4	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in	(Note	24.0	μу/пг
Result	μg/m³) at your receptor	3)	24.0	μg/m³

Mansfield Road Sutton in Ashfield (Tube 44)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	0.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.6	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.4	μg/m³
	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂	(Note		
Step 4	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	31.9	μg/m³
	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in	(Note		
Result	μg/m³) at your receptor	3)	28.3	μg/m³

Fullwood Cutting A38 (Tube 45/46/47)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	4.8	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	12.0	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	15.4	μg/m³
отер о	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂	(Note		_
Step 4	concentration (in μg/m³)? The predicted applied mean NOs concentration (in	2)	37.7	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	31.7	μg/m³

Alfreton Road Sutton in Ashfield (Tube 48)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.5	metres
24 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in	(Note		
Step 2	metres)?	1)	2.6	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.5	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	33.0	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	30.8	μg/m³

Kingsway Kirkby in Ashfield (Tube 52)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	2	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	16.5	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	33.4	μg/m³
	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in	(Note		
Result	μg/m³) at your receptor	3)	31.0	μg/m³

Snipe Location 1(Tube 53/54/55)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.7	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	50	metres
Otop 2	monocy:	.,		motros
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.9	μg/m³
	What is your measured annual mean NO₂	(Note		
Step 4	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	47.9	μg/m³
	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in	(Note		
Result	μg/m³) at your receptor	3)	22.7	μg/m³

Stanton Hill (Tube 56)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	1.6	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	3	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	12.1	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	23.7	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	22.1	μg/m³

Rookery Lane (Tube 58)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	12	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	17.5	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	14.9	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	33.1	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	30.3	μg/m³

Oakfield Avenue (Tube 59)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	21	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note 1)	30	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	15.0	μg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note 2)	27.6	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note	25.3	μg/m³

Watnall Road (Tube 60)

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	(Note 1)	3	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	(Note	24	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	(Note	15.6	μg/m³
Otop 0	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂	(Note	10.0	
Step 4	concentration (in μg/m³)?	2)	25.0	μg/m³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	(Note 3)	19.9	μg/m³

Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

The diffusion tube results for High Street Hucknall and Mansfield Road Sutton in Ashfield were annualised as Box 7.8 of TG 2016.

Alfreton Road Sutton in Ashfield

Long term site	Annual	Period	Ratio
	mean	mean	(Am/Pm)
Chesterfield Road Side	17.5	20.0	0.875
Nottingham Centre	27.9	32.9	1.375
Averag	1.125		

Hucknall Leisure Centre

Long term site	Annual	Period	Ratio
	mean	mean	(Am/Pm)
Chesterfield Road Side	17.5	17.9	0.978
Nottingham Centre	27.9	27.9	1.000
Averag	0.989		

Rookery Lane Sutton in Ashfield

Long term site	Annual	Period	Ratio
	mean	mean	(Am/Pm)
Chesterfield Road side	17.5	16.3	1.073
Nottingham Centre	27.9	25.3	1.102
Average (Ra)			1.088

Oakfield Avenue Sutton in Ashfield


Long term site	Annual	Period	Ratio
-	mean	mean	(Am/Pm)
Chesterfield Road side	17.5	13.7	1.277
Nottingham Centre	27.9	22.4	1.246
Averag	1.262		

Watnall Road Hucknall


Long term site	Annual	Period	Ratio
	mean	mean	(Am/Pm)
Chesterfield Road side	17.5	24.7	0.709
Nottingham Centre	27.9	34.6	0.806
Averag	0.758		

Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations


Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Outram Street, Sutton




Location of Diffusion Tube at Dalestorth Street, Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at A38 Fire Station, Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Church Hill, Kirkby




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at M1 Pinxton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Station Road, Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Common Road, Huthwaite



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at the Badger Box, Annesley




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Croft Primary School Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Stoneyford Court Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Kirkby Cross



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Mansfield Road Selston




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Hucknall Ashgate Road




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Mansfield Road Sutton in Ashfield



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Fullwood Cutting, A38 Sutton




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Alfreton Road Sutton in Ashfield




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Kingsway Kirkby in Ashfield



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Snipe Location 2




Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Stanton Hill, Sutton in Ashfield



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Huchnall Leisure Centre



Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Rookery Lane Sutton in Ashfield





Location of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Watnall Road Hucknall



Appendix E: Diffusion Tube Results Trend Analysis

Figure E.1 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Outram Street, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.2 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube at Dalestorth Street, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.3 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Field Place, A38, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.4 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Church Hill, Kirkby




Figure E.5 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Pinxton




Figure E.6 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Station Road, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.7 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Common Road, Huthwaite




Figure E.8 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Badger Box, Annesley




Figure E.9 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Croft Primary, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.10 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Stoneyford Court, Sutton in Ashfield




Figure E.11 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Kirkby Cross Kirkby




Figure E.12 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Mansfield Road Selston




Figure E.13 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Ashgate, Hucknall




Figure E.14 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Mansfield Road Sutton




Figure E.15 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Fullwood Cutting Sutton




Figure E.16 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Snipe A38 Sutton





Figure E.17 Trend Analysis for Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes at Kingsway Kirkby in Ashfield



Appendix F: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Table F.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective ⁴	
	Concentration	Measured as
Nitrogen Dioxide	200 µg/m³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean
(NO ₂)	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	50 μg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean
	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	350 µg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean
	125 μg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean
	266 µg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean

⁴ The units are in microgrammes of pollutant per cubic metre of air (μg/m³).

Glossary of Terms

.Abbreviation	Description
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values'
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives
ASR	Air quality Annual Status Report
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – Air quality screening tool produced by Highways England
EU	European Union
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
PM ₁₀	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less
PM _{2.5}	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide

References

None